**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. OF 2005**

Municipal Corporation of
Greater Mumbai ..Appellants

versus

K. A. Abdul Majid & Anr. ..Respondents

Ms. T. Katdare for U. H. Kedar for the Appellants.
Ms. G. Shastri i/b. M/s. Khilnani & Co. for the Respondent No.1.
Mrs. G. P. Mulekar, APP for the State.

**CORAM : R. S. MOHITE, J.**
**DATE : 9TH MARCH, 2006**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard both the Advocates. Respondent No.1 waives notices.

2. Leave has been granted by separate order. The appellants have restricted their challenge to the grant of compensation of Rs.2,500/-

3. Advocate for the Respondent No.1 states that she is not interested in the amount of compensation awarded to the Respondent No.1.

4. In the circumstances, Admit. By consent, the matter is heard finally.

5. Impugned order of acquittal is confirmed. But the order directing the payment of compensation of Rs.2,500/- is quashed and set aside. Appeal is partly allowed to the aforesaid extent. Office to number the said Appeal.

(R. S. MOHITE, J.)